

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Region V(Bicol) iools division office of

CATANDUANES



RECORDS SECTION

initial/Signature

DIVISION MEMORANDUM

No. 154, s. 2017

TO:

Chiefs, CID and SGOD

Education Program Supervisors Public School District Supervisors

Elementary and Secondary School Heads

FROM:

SOCORRO V. DELA ROSA, CESO VI

Schools Division Superintendent

SUBJECT: DIVISION REVIEWERS/EVALUATORS FOR LEVEL 2 QUALITY ASSURANCE OF

DATE:

August 23, 2017

1. To provide direction on the development of local materials and curriculum contextualization through LRMDS, the following are designated as evaluators/reviewers of Quality Assurance team for each learning area/program in the division for Level 2 QA:

Division Reviewers for Level 2 Quality Assurance of Learning Resources, Teaching Resources and Professional Development Materials for Publishing in the LR Portal

EsP:

Kindergarten:

Mother Tongue:

Gina L. Custodio Ruth Sorrera Dennis Gianan Arlene Triumfo Glenda Isorena Sandra Mae Soneja Febbie Bermejo Emlyn Lanon

Dennis Tulalian

Gina B. Pantino, Ed. D. Eligio Sales Jane Tabor Junalet Nazareno Ma. Cecilia Tatel Marichu Tabuzo Joey Antonio

Filipino:

Ma. Gina M. Templonuevo, Ed.D.

Luz Licup Efren Magno John Edgar Macca

Liza Bonafe

Ricky Tid

English: Gina B. Pantino, Ed. D.

Dolor Zafe

Frankie T. Turalde Rosario B. Socito

Fe Mendoza

Franklin Arcilla Jane Tolledo

Helen Mendez Jose Angelo Aquino

Mary Grace Tayam

Josalie Tonio Myla Cordial Dave Tolentino Imee Gabion Rey King Bernal Sandra Garcia Arlene Perlas

Jezrahel T. Omadto Ronald Refre Nino Gerard Cenata Mary Rose Sta. Rosa Jose Roy Aguilar Rebecca Villacorta Mari-Ann Manguerra Judy Marie Barro

Jayson Yutan

EPP/TLE/TVL:

Amelia B. Cabrera Arnold Valledor Aileen Tabilog Jennifer Metica (ICT) Noemi Xoyen Tablizo (FBS)

Math:

Romel G. Petajen Lyra Tusi Marilyn Tuplano Jose San Juan Ludy Avila

EPP/TLE/TVL: (continuation0

Donald Sorra (T. Drafting) Alvin Josef Soliveres(CSS) Noel Vargas (EPAS) Roxan Zinz (Dressmaking) Pamela Tenerife (Agriculture) Freddie Tria, Jr. (AIM) Marilyn Miranda (BPP)

Elementary: Julie Ann Surban (ICT) Rochelle Avila (HE) Mildred Ferrer (Agriculture) Delfin Tabuzo(IA)

Math: (cont.) Carol Gil Jonel Aznar Marita Tabuzo Jessa Purcil Evangeline Tuquerro

MAPEH:

Nelson T. Sicio Joselito Ruiz Generosa Sarmiento Raul Borbor Ferdinand Tusi Efren Matienzo Noel Villanueva Odeza Sy Maria Laynes Anatoly Raphael Arcilla Bernardino Sorra

ALS:

Jane Tuplano Maria Rita Tablate Marilou Bernal Abraham dela Cruz Allan Sumalde

Araling Panlipunan: Cynthia T. Soneja

Imelda Mendoza Fredelyn Tarrobal Augusto Vargas Kevin Escobido Jupiter Torno Marilyn Garcia Socorro Molod

SPED:

Cynthia T. Soneja Zarita Boneo Liza Molod Mary Joe Avila Mailene Samudio

Madrasah:

Erlinda Borbe Jessie Icaranom Linda Vega Jose Arcila, Jr. Ar-Jay Callos Mary Jane Valenzuela

2. The following workflow for Learning Resources publication in the LR portal should be followed:

FIRST LEVEL OF REVIEW

- o School, division and region developed learning resources are reviewed (quality assured) and tested at school level. Before pilot testing in the school, the LR should pass the recommending approval of the learning area supervisor for her/him to look into the content, noted by the PSDS and approved by the SDS; request for pilot testing shall be indorsed by the School Head through the PSDS to the Schools Division Superintendent.
- Quality Assurance Tools provided to all LRMDS School Coordinators should be the tools for evaluating LR by the organized School QA Team
 - School QA Team shall be composed of the following:

Chairman:

School Head

Co-chairman: School LRMDS Coordinator

Subject Area Coordinators/Master Teacher

ICT Coordinator

SECOND LEVEL OF REVIEW

- o Requirements to be submitted to CID through LRMDS Supervisor:
 - Certification that the LR has been pilot tested in the school
 - Result of pilot testing and evaluation signed by the School LRMDS QA Team
 - Printed version of LR (if applicable), e-file, metadata and author's details
- o LR shall be forwarded to the designated cataloguer (LRMDS staff) in the CID for cataloguing and uploading in the portal.
- o The LR Admin shall tag specific area of review for QA assigned.
- o Selected QA receives LR on line and can tag resource as either passed or failed after review
- o A resource needs to pass a set of appropriate evaluation tools downloadable from LR portal before being qualified for publishing.
- o All resources should undergo the following evaluation at the minimum:

Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) Review

- Confirm the intellectual property rights of the entire content of the resource and that DepEd has the rights to reproduce and distribute the resource.
- Section A-D should be completed for all resources as the first part of the Evaluation process and before making a decision to catalogue, reproduce and distribute, or redesign and develop a resource.

2. Educational Soundness General Evaluation

- Determine overall fit for purpose, curriculum relevance and readiness of the existing resource to be reproduced in its current format.
- The purpose of the evaluation is to determine whether or not a LR/TR/PDM should be reproduced, redeveloped and/or included in the LRMDS Catalogue.

3. Educational Quality Review for:

- **Print**
- Charts, posters, drill & flash cards, manipulatives
- Non-print
- General reference

Note: This determines accuracy of content, correctness, appropriateness and suitability of presentation, language and visuals to target users, society and culture.

Other Evaluation Tools:

- 1. Technical: usability, interoperability, offline digital
 - · Determine appropriateness of technical format for target users and teaching and learning environment
- 2. Accessibility Evaluation Resources being identified for SPED
- 3. Online site evaluation Determine usability and technical format enables access and use by target users
- 4. Social content guidelines

THIRD LEVEL OF REVIEW

o If passed, LR shall be forwarded to regional Admin for final Quality Assurance and publication.

Notes:

- LR will not be published unless it passed review of all assigned QAs in the portal.
- If LR is tagged as failed, QA can send feedback why LR did not pass, comment shall be sent to Cataloguer.
- Cataloguer will be the one to contact LR author for revision.

- After modification, cataloguer can resubmit LR, but it does not have to go thru QA selection. It shall automatically be submitted to QA who previously reviewed the LR.
- If developed LR is to be used for promotion, developer should comply with other requirements issued by the Division Selection Committee.
- 3. Reorientation will be conducted for the division evaluators on the schedule to be announced later.

कर सुरक्षा । स्ट्रांस्ट रे क्षान अन्य राज्यात । व्यक्तिया क्षात अन्यित संविध अन्यात

Carriesting out treatment in the or more than a first tree

4. For information, guidance and compliance.

The District of the District of the state of the District of the State of the State