

Kagawaran ng Edukasyon

REHIYON V - BICOL
TANGGAPANG PANSANGAY NG CATANDUANES

January 10, 2025

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

No. 004, s. 2025

VALIDATION OF 2024 DIVISION OPERF BY THE REGIONAL PERFORMANCE VALIDATION TEAM

To

Assistant Schools Division Superintendent

Chief Education Supervisors

OSDS Unit Heads SDO Personnel All Others Concerned

- 1. Pursuant to Regional Memorandum No. 00031, s. 2025, dated January 9, 2025, re: Mechanics for the Conduct of the Validation of the CY 2024 Schools Division Offices' Office Performance and Commitment Review Form (OPCRF) with Self-Rating, this Office sets preparations for the Validation of the SDO's CY 2024 Office Performance Rating by the Regional Performance Validation Team (RPVT) on January 27 28, 2025.
- 2. The following activities are going to be implemented:

Date	Activity	Persons Involved
January 10, 2025	Preparation of the MOVs and	Internal Validators and Focal
	Pre-Evaluation	Persons per KRA and Objectives
January 13-17, 2025	Finalization of the MOVs	Focal Persons per KRA and
	Meeting with the OIC-SDS	Objectives
January 20 - 24, 2025	Completion and Packaging of	Focal Persons per KRA and
	the MOVs	Objectives
January 27 – 28, 2025	Validation of the SDO's CY 2024 Office Performance Rating by the Regional Performance Validation Team (RPVT)	Working Committee Members

- 3. The following enclosures are attached for reference and guidance:
 - a. Enclosure 1: Internal Validators and Working Committee Members
 - b. Enclosure 2: Focal Persons per KRA and Objectives
 - c. Enclosure 3: Regional Memorandum No. 00031, s. 2025
 - d. Enclosure 4: CY 2024 OPCRF
- 4. Assigned evaluators during the pre-validation period are tasked to submit reports on their findings and provide technical assistance to the concerned focal persons/ program owners.
- 5. All SDO Personnel shall be ready with their respective OPCRF/ IPCRF with corresponding Means of Verification for possible validation of the RPVT.
- For information, guidance, and compliance.

CECILE C. FERRO, CESO VI
Assistant Schools Division Superintendent
Officer-in-Charge

Office of the Schools Division Superintendent









Kagawaran ng Edukasyon

REHIYON V - BICOL

TANGGAPANG PANSANGAY NG CATANDUANES

Enclosure 1 to Office Memorandum No. oc, s. 2025

A. Internal Validators

Unit Representatives shall validate the MOVs expected from them. The following is the pairing of units during the cross validation:

- a. SGOD and CID
- b. PRS and ICTU
- c. HRDS and HRMS
- d. SOCMED and Legal Unit
- e. SMME and Records Section
- f. Budget Section and AO V (Admin)
- g. Supply Section and BAC

B. Working Committees

a. Food and Accommodation of Validators: Finance Unit and HR

b. Welcoming Committee: CID

c. Program: SGOD

d. Design Cover, Presentations: ICTU

e. Secretariat: OSDS











Kagawaran ng Edukasyon REHIYON V - BICOL

TANGGAPANG PANSANGAY NG CATANDUANES

Enclosure 2 to Office Memorandum No. 606 s. 2025

Focal Persons per KRA and Objectives

KRA and	Functional Divisions/ Sections/ Units	Focal Persons					
Objectives	L						
	dership and Management	Tri D GI					
Objective 1.1	SGOD - Planning and Research	Floren P. Clavo					
011 11 10	AN C	Rey C. Bonayon					
Objective 1.2	All Sections and Units	 					
Objective 1.3	ICTU, Administrative Office, Records	Jennifer Metica					
		Eva S. Tolentino					
WD100		Cherie V. Perez					
KRA 2: Curriculum		1					
Objectives 2.1 to	CID	Romel G. Petajen					
2.3	1 12 12	All EPS - CID					
KRA 3: Support to S	chool Governance and Operations	The mi on mill.					
Objective 3.1	SMME	Ma. Rita SR Tablate					
01: .: 0.0	2000	Achilles Alberto I					
Objective 3.2	SGOD	Mary Jean S. Romero					
Objective 2.2	UDDO	All Section/ Unit Heads of SGOD					
Objective 3.3	HRDS	Carol P. Gil					
KRA 4: SDO		Elizabeth Urbano					
Management							
(Administrative)							
Objectives 4.1 and	HRMO	Marichelle B. Llave					
4.2	TRIVIO	Marchelle B. Liave					
Objective 4.3	Records Section	Cherie V. Perez					
Objective 4.4	Supply Section and BAC	Cristina T. Barrameda					
Objective 4.4	Supply Section and BAC	Delfin A. Bondad					
Objective 4.5	BAC	Delfin A. Bondad					
	ement (Financial Management)	Denni A. Bondad					
Objectives 5.1 and	Accounting Section	Angelo James O. Aguinalde					
5.2	Accounting Section	Aligelo James O. Aguniade					
Objective 5.3	Budget Unit	Liza R. Bernardo					
SDO Management (L	egal Services)						
Objectives 5.4, 5.5	Legal Unit	Norlito Jr. P. Agunday					
and 5.6							
SDO Management (1	CT Systems Management)						
Objectives 5.7 to	ICTU	Jennifer B. Metica					
5.9							
KRA 6: Partnership	and Linkages						
Objective 6.1 to 6.4	Social Mobilization and Networking	Marife B. Brequillo					
	Section	Imaculate Latorre					
	istration and Performance Management						
Objective 7.1	Administrative Officer V (Admin)	Eva S. Tolentino					
Objective 7.2	SMME	Ma. Rita SR Tablate					
		Achilles Alberto I					
Objective 7.3	Administrative Officer V (Admin) and	Eva S. Tolentino					
	ICTU	Jenniser B. Metica					
Objective 7.4	Division Information Officer and Records	Aroline T. Borja					
	Section	Cherie V. Perez					
Objective 7.5	HRD	Carol P. Gil					
		Elizabeth Urbano					









Republic of the Philippines **Department of Education**REGION V - BICOL



09 Jan 2025

MECHANICS FOR THE CONDUCT OF THE VALIDATION OF THE CY 2024 SCHOOLS DIVISION OFFICES' OFFICE PERFORMANCE AND COMMITMENT REVIEW FORM (OPCRF) WITH SELF-RATING

To

Assistant Regional Director

Schools Division Superintendents

RPMT/RPVT Members All Others Concerned

- 1. This Office, through the Regional Performance Validation Team (RPVT), hereby informs all Schools Division Superintendents (SDS) on the Mechanics on the Conduct of the Validation of the CY 2024 SDOs' OPCRF with Self-Rating on January 27-29, 2024.
- 2. For the smooth conduct of the said validation, all the concerned Personnel from SDOs and RPVT are advised to follow the guidelines outlined below:

Particular	Instructions/Details
RPMT and RPVT Orientation Meeting	An Orientation Meeting for RPMT and RPVT members is scheduled for January 20, 2025, at the NEAP R5 Hall, Legazpi City. This session will focus on finalizing and providing a detailed orientation regarding the validation process for the CY 2024 SDO OPCRF.
List of Means of Verifications	The MOVs, which will serve as proof of performance and accomplishment of targets, must be pre-identified by the personnel in each SDO. These MOVs should be submitted to pprd.rov@deped.gov.ph prior to the scheduled validation. Additionally, the MOVs and presentations used during the
	4th quarter PIRPA shall be shared with PPRD and RPVT through QAD and will not need to be presented again. However, RPVT members may request additional MOVs if necessary, based on their assessment during the validation.
Schedule and Assigned Team	Please refer to Annexes 1A and 1B for the schedule of the CY 2024 SDO OPCRF validation and the list of RPVT members assigned to each SDO, respectively.
SDO's Preparation	Each SDO is advised to designate one area/room for the validation process. The MOVs should be organized per Key Result Area (KRA) and Objective for easy access and efficient validation.
	In alignment with the Department's policy on austerity and cost-efficiency, SDOs are instructed to refrain from any lavish preparations, such as setting up tarpaulins or providing leis and tokens to validators. The accommodation costs for the RPVT members should be charged to the TEV.
Conduct of Validation Pre-Validation:	Upon arrival, the SDO must provide a copy of the CY 2024 OPCRF with self-rating to the RPVT leader. Please ensure that the self-rating is presented in whole numbers (5, 4, 3, 2, 1).







Address: Regional Center Site, Rawis, Legazpi City, 4500

Telephone Nos.: 0969 516 9555
Email Address: region5@deped.gov.ph
Website: https://region5.deped.gov.ph/



Particular	Instructions/Details
	The RPVT leader will ensure that the copy provided matches the submitted and approved CY 2024 OPCRF as per DM-OUHROD-2024-0586.
Conduct of Validation During Validation:	RPVT members will transfer the indicated self-rating into the prescribed templates. Please refer to Annex 2 for the templates. Each RPVT member will assess the objectives based on the submitted MOVs using the prescribed rating scale. After rating each objective per KRA, the RPVT members will compute the score per KRA. In case of disagreement or when additional relevant MOVs are presented, the team leader and the in-charge RPVT group will confer to determine whether the new MOVs will be adopted. All rating sheets must be submitted to the designated documenter.
Conduct of Validation Post Evaluation:	Following the validation, the RPVT will conduct an Exit Conference to present the results per KRA. This will include the identification of strengths, weaknesses (if any), developmental needs, and the proposed final rating for the rater's approval. Please note that the rating per validation will not be posted on social media. The validated rating is only considered final and official once the OPCRF has been signed by the Rater (Assistant Regional Director) and approved by the Approving Authority (Regional Director).
Parts II, III, and IV	The templates for Parts III and IV can be accessed via this link: https://bit.ly/OPCRF2024PartsIII-IV

- 3. Expenses related to this activity, including the travel expenses of RPMT/RPVT members, rental of vans, food and venue for the orientation will be charged to the Regional Office Funds, subject to the existing budgeting, accounting, and auditing rules and regulations. Any expenses incurred by the SDOs for the conduct of this activity should be charged to their respective local funds, also in accordance with the relevant budgeting, accounting, and auditing rules and regulations.
- 4. Should you have clarifications, you may contact PPRD at pprd.rov@deped.gov.ph and the RPMT Secretariat through Personnel Section of the Administrative Division at personnel.rov@deped.gov.ph.
- 5. Immediate dissemination of and compliance with this Memorandum is desired.

GILBERT A SADSAD
Regional Director

Refs.: DepEd Order No. 2, s. 2015 dated February 6, 2015

To be indicated in the Perpetual Index under the following subjects

COMMITMENT COMPENDIUM OFFICE FUNCTIONS PERFORMANCE

VALIDATION

Annex 1B: Composition of the Regional Performance Validation Team

Assigned KRA	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4	Group 5	Group 6
Chair/Leader:	GRACE U. RABELAS	SANCHA M. NACION	EVANGELINE A. SACULO	ROY T. BAÑAS	JOCELYN O. DY	ROSE ANN B. TUBIG
Strategic Leadership and Management	Andrew P. Raguero	Shannon D. Abogado	Evangeline A. Saculo	Mercy S. Castillo	Jocelyn C. Villanueva	Hallen R. Monreal
Curriculum Implementation	Grace U. Rabelas	Joan L. Lagata	Nora J. Laguda	Teresa C. Buasan	Chozara P. Duroy	Loyd H. Botor
Support to school governance and operations	Manuel F. Babasa	Roy G. Rapsing	Priscilla J. Ombao Jose Jr. A. Chavez	Michelle P. Pequeña	Ronaldo B. Buella	Leo R. Madriaga
SDO Management: Administrative	Regie A. Abarrientos Salvador M. Lopera	Santiago Jacky II D. Villafuerte	Thelma N. Navera	Mary Ann T. Bañas	Rosary Ann A. Gimenez	Bernadette M. Robles
SDO Management: Financial Management	Janela L. Losito	Zer Jethro Rodmell A. Roscuata	Sonia A. Bandola	Ilya O. Vargas	Joy B. Margallo	Sheryl D. Cerillo
SDO Management: Legal Services	Bea Anne Paga Baroma	Julie Ann A. Azores	Domilyn G. Silerio	Roy T. Bañas	Luisa Fe L. Montas	Loyd H. Botor
SDO Management: ICT Systems Management	Ricardo M. Tejeresas	Casiano B. Perdigones Jr.	Joy C. Chavez	Karen B. Legson	Marvin Buhat	Salvador B. Deyto, Jr.
Partnership and Linkages	Maria Cristina G. Baroso	Deo R. Moreno	Israel F. Parra	Maria Rosalia Vivien Maninang	Marites O. Rabulan	Daisy D. Moratalla
Office Administration and Performance Management	Melanie D. Encarnacion Mark Kevin A. Arroco	Jeremy A. Atad Mayflor Marie L. Jumamil	Ma. Corazon A. Aler	Catalina P. Garcia	Paraluman M. Torregoza	Joe-Bren L. Consuelo
Innovating and Intervening Accomplishments	Christie L. Alvarez Melanie D. Encarnacion	Joan L. Lagata Jeremy A. Atad	Nora J. Laguda Ma. Corazon A. Aler	Teresa C. Buasan Catalina P. Garcia	Ma. Leilani R. Lorico Paraluman M. Torregoza	Maria Ayrin B. Adriano Joe-Bren L. Consuelo
Part IC	Janela L. Losito Manuel F. Babasa	Zer Jethro Rodmell A. Roscuata Roy G. Rapsing	Sonia A. Bandola Priscilla J. Ombao Jose Jr. A. Chavez	Ilya O. Vargas	Joy B. Margallo Ronaldo B. Buella	Sheryl D. Cerillo Leo R. Madriaga
Documenter	Regie A. Abarrientos	Ma. Ana Mae B. Bernardino	Thelma N. Navera	Ma. Alexandra L. Bacunawa	Rosary Ann A. Gimenez	Ruth B. Bendita

Annex 1A: SDOs' Schedule and Assigned Team

00031

SDOs	Assigned Team	Date and Time						
ALBAY		January 27, 2025 (AM)						
LEGAZPI CITY	Group 6	January 27, 2025 (PM)						
LIGAO CITY		January 28, 2025						
TABACO CITY		January 27, 2025 (AM)						
CATANDUANES	Group 5	January 27-28, 2025						
IRIGA CITY		January 27, 2025						
CAMARINES SUR	Group 4	January 28, 2025						
CAMARINES NORTE		January 27-28, 2025						
NAGA CITY	Group 3	January 28, 2025						
SORSOGON		January 27, 2025						
SORSOGON CITY	Group 2	January 28, 2025						
MASBATE		January 27-28, 2025						
MASBATE CITY	Group 1	January 28-29, 2025						



Department of Education

OFFICE PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT AND REVIEW FORM (OPCRF) Revised 2024

Name of Employee:	SOCORRO V. DELA ROSA	Name of Rater:	
Position/Designation:	Schools Division Superintendent	Position:	Assistant Regional Director
Review Period:	January to December 2024	Approving Authority:	
Strand/Bureau/Center/Service/Region/Division:	Schools Division Office (SDO) of Catanduanes - Office of the Schools Division Superintendent	Date of Review:	
Strand/Bureau/Center/Service/Region/Division Statement of Purpose:	The Schools Division Office (SDO) ensures the promotion of equity in access and continuously improves the quality of basic education in the sch		ng in the development and implementation of the Division Education Development Plans (DEDP) consistent with the national and regional educational policies, plans and standards,

PART I-A: COMMITMENT TO ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (60%)

Part I-A. Commitment to Organizational Outcomes shall capture office commitments, performance, and accomplishments based on office mandates and KRAs as reflected in the Official issuance on the Compendium of Office Functions. This part shall capture the contributions of the office directly targeting the Organizational Outcomes indicated in the General Appropriation Act (GAA) Programs/Subprograms. Basic Education Development Plan (BEDP) Pillars. MATATAG Agenda priority deliverables, and other national level commitments that are aligned with and relevant to the office KRAs. Clear attribution shall be made to ensure such alignment.

							TO BE AC	COMPLISHE	DURING F	PLANNING			•			TO BE FIL	LED DUR	ING EVALU	ATION
Org	anizational Oute	comes Alignme	nt	Objectives (based on Office Functions)	Timeline	Weight Allocation	(Target Out Bureau/Cent on align Outcome/Ou the Org	ance Targets toome/Ouput of the ter/Service/Divisi ted with the tput Indicators in tanizational comes)	Performance Measure (Quality, Efficiency, Timeliness)			Rating Scale			Means of Verification (MOVs)	Actual Accomplishments	RATING (Q,E,T)	AVERAGE (QET)	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Key Result Areas (KRA) (Based on Office Mandate and Functions)		onal Outcome A AA Programs/Su BEDP Pillars		and TAG TS Translated the Regional January to ate Basic Education Plan December		Value (numerical, statistical, trend)	Description (expected outcome/ output/service)	Description (expected outcome/	5 (Outstanding)	4 (Very Satisfactory)	3 (Satisfactory)	2 (Unsatisfactory)	1 (Poor)						
Strategic Leadership and Management	Education Policy Development Program	Enabling Mechanisms - Governance	TAke steps to accelerate delivery of basic education facilities and services.				1	Copy of adjusted Division Educational Development Plan (DEDP)	Quality	BEDP, MATATAG agenda, and the	The adjusted DEDP has been anchored on MATATAG agenda, and the mantra of the SDO.	The adjusted DEDP has been anchored on the mantra of the SDO.	The adjusted DEDP is not aligned to the context and situation of the SDO.	No acceptable evidence was shown.	DEDP				
			services.								81% to 90% completion of the final draft of the adjusted DEDP	71% to 80% completion of the final draft of the adjusted DEDP	61% to 70% completion of the final draft of the adjusted DEDP	51% to 60% completion of the final draft of the adjusted DEDP				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
										The adjusted DEDP was submitted within the rating period	The adjusted DEDP was submitted one month after the rating period	The adjusted DEDP was submitted 2 months after the rating period	The adjusted DEDP was submitted 3 months after the rating period	The adjusted DEDP was submitted 4 months after the rating period					

				Established implementing guidelines on the implementation of PPAs.	January to December 2024	5	SDO Units adopting the established mechanism	Quality	The established implementing guidelines on the implementation of PPAs were: 1. consistent with existing laws, policies, and guidelines; 2. aligned with the agency strategic goals and directions; and 3. compliant with DepEd guidelines on school management and operations.	The established implementing guidelines on the implementation of PPAs have documented instances observed on 2 items	The established implementing guidelines on the implementation of PPAs have documented instances observed on 1 item	The established implementing guidelines on the implementation of PPAs have documented documented interest of all items	No acceptable evidence shown	Division Memoranda on the implementing guidelines on the implementation of PPAs		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
								Efficiency	5 SDO units adopted the established mechanism	4 SDO units adopted the established mechanism	3 SDO units adopted the established mechanism	2 SDO units adopted the established mechanism	1 SDO unit adopted the established mechanism				
				Established Monitoring and evaluation tool on PPAs implementation.	January to December 2024	4	Number of accomplished PAPs M&E tool	Quality	The accomplished PPAs M&E tools are: 1. consistent to the existing laws, policies, and guidelines; 2. aligned with the agency strategic goals and directions; and 3. compliant with DepEd guidelines on M&E process.	The accomplished PPAs M&E tools have documented instances observed on 2 items	The accomplished PPAs M&E tools have documented instances observed on 1 item	The accomplished PPAs M&E tools have documented instances of non-observance of all items	No acceptable evidence shown	Accomplished PPAs M&E Tool		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
								Efficiency	4 PPAs M&E Tool were accomplished	3 PPAs M&E Tool were accomplished	2 PPAs M&E Tool were accomplished	1 PPAs M&E Tool were accomplished	No acceptable evidence shown.				
Curriculum Implementation	A. Basic Education Inputs B. Inclusive Education	Pillar 3: Quality	MA-ke the curriculum relevant to produce competent, job ready, active and responsible citizens.	Ensured effective management and implementation of curriculum in the SDO in compliance to quality standard.	January 2024- December 2024	8	Curriculum- related PPAs implemented	Quality	The implemented curriculum related PPAs are completely documented, evidenced by all MOVs	The implemented curriculum-related PPAs are completely documented, evidenced by the approved proposal and any 4 of the other MOVs	The implemented curriculum-related PPAs are completely documented, evidenced by the approved proposal and any 3 of the other MOVs	The implemented curriculum-related PPAs are completely documented, evidenced by the approved proposal and any 2 of the other MOVs	The implemented curriculum-related PPAs are completely documented, evidenced by the approved proposal and any of the other MOVs	Approved Proposals & Completion/Accom plishment Reports, M & E Tool, TANA, TAP, TAR			
								Efficiency	7 to 8 Curriculum- related PPAs implemented	5 to 6 Curriculum- related PPAs implemented	3 to 4 Curriculum-related PPAs implemented	1 to 2 Curriculum- related PPAs implemented	No curriculum- related PPAs implemented			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
								Timeliness	96% to 100% of the curriculum-related PPAs were implemented within the implementation period under normal circumstances	91% to 95% of the curriculum-related PPAs were implemented within the implementation period under normal circumstances	86% to 90% of the curriculum-related PPAs were implemented within the implementation period under normal circumstances	curriculum-related PPAs were implemented within	Less than 81% of the curriculum- related PPAs were implemented within the implementation period under normal circumstances				

				Ensured effective management and/or implementation of learning assessments in schools and learning centers for better learning outcomes.	January to December 2024	1	Assessment of learning outcomes	Quality	96% to 100% of the learning assessment reports contain analysis and recommendations Learning assessments in schools were implemented across 4 grading periods	91% to 95% of the learning assessment reports contain analysis and recommendations Learning assessments in schools were implemented across 3 grading periods	86% to 90% of the learning assessment reports contain analysis and recommendations Learning assessments in schools were implemented across 2 grading periods	Learning	Less than 85% of the learning assessment reports contain analysis and recommendations			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
				Managed the implementation of policies, guidelines, and standards, in the development and/or contextualization of learning resources.	January to December 2024	8	Developed and utilized contextualized learning resources	Quality	96% to 100% of the contextualized learning resources were quality assured and utilized	91% to 95% of the contextualized learning resources were quality assured and utilized	86% to 90% of the contextualized learning resources were quality assured and utilized	81% to 85% of the contextualized learning resources were quality assured and utilized	Less than 81% of the contextualized learning resources were quality assured and utilized	Report/ inventory on the developed and/or contextualized learning resources, Sample LRs, Number and list of		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
								Efficiency	7 to 8 developed learning resources are accessible to schools and learning centers	5 to 6 developed learning resources are accessible to schools and learning centers	3 to 4 developed learning resources are accessible to schools and learning centers	1 to 2 developed learning resources are accessible to schools and learning centers	Developed learning resources are inaccessible to schools and learning centers	schools and learning centers which utilized the LRs			
Support to School Governance and Operations	Education Policy Development Program	Enabling Mechanisms - Governance	TAke steps to accelerate delivery of basic education facilities and	Established mechanism for monitoring implementation of PPAs in the SDO through Program Implemntation Review and Perfromance Assessment (PIRPA)	January to December 2024	4	Number of PIRPA Reports	Quality	The PIRPA Reports were: 1. accurate, 2. evidence-based, 3. relevant, and 4. complete.	The PIRPA Reports have documented instances of non- observance of any 1 of items 1 to 4	The PIRPA Reports have documented instances of non-observance of any 2 of items 1 to 4	The PIRPA Reports have documented instances of non- observance of 3 of items 1 to 4	The PIRPA Reports have documented non- observance of all items.	PIRPA Reports		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
			services.	Reports.				Efficiency	Submitted 4 PIRPA Reports	Submitted 3 PIRPA Reports	Submitted 2 PIRPA Reports	Submitted 1 PIRPA Reports	No PIRPA Report submission			,,,,	
								Timeliness	PIRPA Reports submitted on time	PIRPA Reports submitted a week after the due date	PIRPA Reports submitted 2 weeks after the due date	PIRPA Reports submitted 3 weeks after the due date	PIRPA Reports submitted 4 weeks after the due date				
				Provided contextualized policies/guidelines to support for school management and operations.	January to December 2024	5	Approved and issued contextualized policies/guideli nes	Quality	The contextualized policies/ guidelines were: were: 1. aligned with the DepEd strategic goals and directions, 2. compliant with DepEd policies & guidelines on school management and operations, 3. relevant to the schools/ stakeholders' needs, and 4. approved and issued	The draft contextualized policies/ guidelines were: 1. aligned with the DepEd strategic goals and directions, 2. compliant with DepEd policies & guidelines on school management and operations, and 3. relevant to the schools/ stakeholders' needs.	The draft contextualized policies/ guidelines were: 1. aligned with the DepEd strategic goals and directions, 2. compliant with DepEd policies & guidelines on school management and operations	The draft contextualized policies/ guidelines were aligned with the DepEd strategic goals and directions	No contextualized policies/ guidelines	Issued Division Memoranda on the approved contextualized policies/ guidelines for school management and operations		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

								Efficiency	5 contextualized policies/ guidelines were approved and issued	4 contextualized policies/ guidelines were approved and issued	3 contextualized policies/ guidelines were approved and issued	2 contextualized policies/ guidelines were approved and issued	1 contextualized policies/ guidelines were approved and issued			
		Ensured the operationalization of the L&D Systems in the SDO.	January to December 2024	4	Approved Training proposals based on LDNA reports	Quality	4 approved training proposals is based on the analysis of the LDNA report	3 approved training proposals is based on the analysis of the LDNA report	2 approved training proposals is based on the analysis of the LDNA report	1 approved training proposals is based on the analysis of the LDNA report	No acceptable evidence shown.	LDNA Report, Approved Training Proposals, Activity Proposal of the implemented program on				
								l l	4 training proposals based on LDNA reports were approved	reports based on LDNA or reports were approved	ased on LDNA on LDNA reports were approved approved approved	based on LDNA training proposal reports were approved	rewards and recognition, accomplishment report with documentations	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
	SDO Support to Courange Property and prom					Timeliness	4 training proposals based on LDNA reports were approved within the rating period	3 training proposals based on LDNA reports were approved within the rating period	2 training proposals based on LDNA reports were approved within the rating period	A training proposal based on LDNA reports was approved within the rating period	No approved training proposal within the rating period					
	G ive support to teachers to on benefits ar	Properly and promptly provided personnel action on benefits and compensation.	January to December 2024	100%	Personnel qualified for application/impl ementation of ERF, step increment, loyalty award,	Quality	96%-100% of personnel qualified for ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. were identified	91%-95% of personnel qualified for ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. were identified	86%-90% of personnel qualified for ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. were identified	81%-85% of personnel qualified for ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. were identified	below 81% of personnel qualified for ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. were identified	List of identified personnel qualified for application/ implementation of ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc., Duly				
					etc. identified	Efficiency	96%-100% of the identified personnel qualified for application/ implementation of ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. have been processed and paid	91%-95% of the identified personnel qualified for application/ implementation of ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. have been processed and paid	86%-90% of the identified personnel qualified for application/ implementation of ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. have been processed and paid	81%-85% of the identified personnel qualified for application/ implementation of ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. have been processed and paid	below 81% of the identified personnel qualified for application/ implementation of ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. have been processed and paid	signed disbursement voucher/ payroll	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
								Timeliness	96%-100% of the identified personnel qualified for application/ implementation of ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. have been processed and paid on prescribed time	91%-95% of the identified personnel qualified for application/ implementation of ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. have been processed and paid on prescribed time	86%-90% of the identified personnel qualified for application/ implementation of ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. have been processed and paid on prescribed time	81%-85% of the identified personnel qualified for application/ implementation of ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. have been processed and paid on prescribed time	below 81% of the identified personnel qualified for application/ implementation of ERF, step increment, loyalty award, etc. have been processed and paid on prescribed time			
				Filled up vacant positions for teaching, teaching related and non teaching positions.	January to December 2024	100%	Vacant teaching, teaching- related, and non-teaching positions has hean filled	Quality	96% to 100% approved and issued appointments were attested by the Civil Service Commission (CSC)	approved and	86% to 90% approved and issued appointments were attested by the CSC	81% to 85% approved and issued appointments were attested by the CSC	Below 81% approved and issued appointments were attested by the CSC	Number of approved appointments and deployments evaluated and recommended		

			(except hard-to- fill positions)	Efficiency	96%-100% of the vacant positions has been filled	been filled	86%-90% of the vacant positions has been filled	81%-85% of the vacant positions has been filled	Below 81% of the vacant positions has been filled	Number of vacant items that are filled	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
				Timeliness	96%-100% vacant positions has been filled within the prescribed period	91%-95% vacant positions has been filled within the prescribed period	86%-90% vacant positions has been filled within the prescribed period	81%-85% vacant positions has been filled within the prescribed period	Below 81% vacant positions has been filled within the prescribed period				
Established an updated, accurate well-planned and coordinated system for records management and generated services thru the Document Tracking System.	January to December 2024	100%	Communication documents managed properly and promptly	Quality	Ensured that the records management were followed: a) Creation of registy; b) Maintaining of ecopy; c) Filing of hard copy of records; and d) Labeling	3 activities for record management were conducted	2 activities for record management were conducted	An activity for record management was conducted	No activities conducted for records management.	Registry of received & released documents, DoTS Summary of received and released documents, e-file of records			
				Efficiency	96% to 100% of the communication documents were managed properly	91% to 95% of the communication documents were managed properly an	86% to 90% of the communication documents were managed properly and promptly	81% to 85% of the communication documents were managed properly and promptly	Less than 81% of the communication documents were managed properly and promptly		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
				Timeliness	96% to 100% of the communication documents were managed on time	91% to 95% of the communication documents were managed on time	86% to 90% of the communication documents were managed on time	81% to 85% of the communication documents were managed on time	Less than 81% of the communication documents were managed on time				
	January to December 2024	100%	Procured and/ or received supplies, materials and equipment provided to the SDO units, Schools and Learning	Quality	76% to 100% of the procured and/ or received supplies, materials, and equipment were provided to SDO units, schools, and learning centers	51% to 75% of the procured and/ or received supplies, materials, and equipment were provided to SDO units, schools, and learning centers	26% to 50% of the procured and/ or received supplies, materials, and equipment were provided to SDO units, schools, and learning centers	1% to 25% of the procured and/ or received supplies, materials, and equipment were provided to SDO units, schools, and learning centers	Procured and/ or received supplies, materials, and equipment were not provided to SDO units, schools, and learning centers	Updated inventory of division assets, Inventory Custodian Slip (ICS), Report on Physical Count of Semi-Expendable Property & Equipment,			
			Centers	Efficiency	96% to 100% of provided supplies, materials, equipment were recorded	91% to 95% of provided supplies, materials, equipment were recorded	86% to 90% of provided supplies, materials, equipment were recorded	81% to 85% of provided supplies, materials, equipment were recorded	Less than 81% of provided supplies, materials, equipment were recorded	Property Acknowledgement Receipt, Report on Physical Count of Property, Plant & Equipment,	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
				Timeliness	provided within the prescribed timelines consistent with auditing			materials, equipment were	Less than 81% procured and/ or received supplies, materials, equipment were provided within the prescribed timelines consistent with auditing rules and regulations	Number of schools and learning centers with updated inventory of supplies,			

				Ensured compliance to procurement laws, rules, and regulations.	January to December 2024	100%	Procurement activities are in accordance with RA 9184 and its Implementing Rules and Regulations	Quality	91% to 100% of the activities are completely supported by the required procurement documents	81% to 90% of the activities are completely supported by the required procurement documents	71% to 80% of the activities are completely supported by the required procurement documents	61% to 70% of the activities are completely supported by the required procurement documents	Below 61% of the activities are completely supported by the required procurement documents	WFP, PPMP, APP, ABC, PR, AR, RFQ, Abstract of Prices from Bidders/ Suppliers, NOA, NTP, Contract/ PO, PMR, PhiliGEPS				
							(IRR)	Efficiency	91% to 100% of the procurement activities have been completed	81% to 90% of the procurement activities have been completed	71% to 80% of the procurement activities have been completed	61% to 70% of the procurement activities have been completed	Below 61% of the procurement activities have been completed	Posting Report, Procurement Timelines, BAC Meeting Minutes, BAC Resolutions,	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
								Timeliness	91% to 100% of the procurement transactions have been completed within the prescribed timelines	81% to 90% of the procurement transactions have been completed within the prescribed timelines	71% to 80% of the procurement transactions have been bcompleted within the prescribed timelines	61% to 70% of the procurement transactions have been completed within the prescribed timelines	Below 61% of the procurement transactions have been completed	and other BAC Records				
SDO Management (Financial Management)	Support to School & Learners Program	Governance	accelerate delivery of basic education facilities and services.	Downloaded school MOOE to 278 Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance)	March, June, September and December, 2024	277	Schools fund allocation were downloaded in full	Quality	96-100% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) were downloaded	91-95% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) were downloaded	86-90% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) were downloaded	81-85% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) were downloaded	Less than 81% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) were downloaded	Report of Downloaded Funds and Utilization by quarter (School MOOE)				
	Give support to teachers to teach better					Efficiency	96-100% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) with complete supporting documents	91-95% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) with complete supporting documents	complete supporting	81-85% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) with complete supporting documents	Less than 81% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) with complete supporting documents		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				
								Timeliness	96-100% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) were downloaded on the prescribed timeline	91-95% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) were downloaded on the prescribed timeline	86-90% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) were downloaded on the prescribed timeline	81-85% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) were downloaded on the prescribed timeline	Less than 81% of the Elementary, Junior High and Senior High School (level of governance) were downloaded on the prescribed timeline					
				Cash advances received by public elementary and secondary (junior and senior) of Schools Division	March, June, September and	264 (95% of the 278 schools)	Schools and learning centers that have liqiuidated	Quality	91-100% of cash advance are liquidated	81-90% of cash advance are liquidated	71-80% of cash advance are liquidated	61-70% of cash advance are liquidated	Less than 61% of cash advance are liquidated	Liquidation Reports of Schools with CDRs and JEVs				
				of Catanduanes are liquidated and recorded accurately in the books of accounts within the every quarter	December 2024		cash advances	Efficiency	91-100% of cash advance are liquidated with no revision	81-90% of cash advance are liquidated with no revision	71-80% of cash advance are liquidated with no revision	61-70% of cash advance are liquidated with no revision	Less than 61% of cash advance are liquidated with no revision		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
				,			1			Timeliness	91-100% of cash advance are liquidated within prescribed period	81-90% of cash advance are liquidated within prescribed period	71-80% of cash advance are liquidated within prescribed period	61-70% of cash advance are liquidated within prescribed period	Less than 61% of cash advance are liquidated within prescribed period			
				Allocations received by Schools Division Office of Catanduanes for	January to December 2024	95%	Percentage of all obligated downloaded	Quality	96-100% of budget allocations were obligated	91-95% of budget allocations were obligated	86-90% of budget allocations were obligated	81-85% of budget allocations were obligated	76-80% of budget allocations were obligated	Financial Accountability Reports (FARs)				

		1		implementation of various				funds		96-100% of obligation	91-95% of obligation		81-85% of	76-80% of]		I	
				programs and projects are utilized according to					Efficiency	incurred were paid	incurred were paid	incurred were paid	obligation incurred were paid	obligation incurred were paid		#D	IV/0!	#DIV/0!
				timeline/schedules					Timeliness	96-100% of budget allocations were obligated within the fiscal year	91-95% of budget allocations were obligated within the fiscal year	86-90% of budget allocations were obligated within the fiscal year	81-85% of budget allocations were obligated within the fiscal year	76-80% of budget allocations were obligated within the fiscal year				
Administrative (Legal Services)	Support to School & Learners Program	Governance	TAke steps to accelerate delivery of basic	Prepared and reviewed contracts, Memorandum of Agreements (MOA) and instruments to which the	January to December 2024	2%	2	Contracts/MOA prepared and reviewed	Quality	All parts of a legal instrument were incorporated into the instrument	One of the prescribed parts of a legal instrument were omitted	Two of the prescribed parts of a legal instrument were omitted	Three of the prescribed parts of a legal instrument were omitted	Four of the prescribed parts of a legal instrument were omitted	Memorandum of Agreement & Reviewed Legal Instruments			
			education facilities and services. Give support to teachers to teach better	Division or any of its offices and schools is a party and interprets the provisions therein.					Efficiency	90-100% of the contracts, memorandum of agreements, deed of donations, compromise agreements, and other legal documents were provided	85-89% of the contracts, memorandum of agreements, deed of donations, compromise agreements, and other legal documents were provided	80-84% of the contracts, memorandum of agreements, deed of donations, compromise agreements, and other legal documents were provided	75-79% of the contracts, memorandum of agreements, deed of donations, compromise agreements, and other legal documents were provided	70-74% of the contracts, memorandum of agreements, deed of donations, compromise agreements, and other legal documents were provided				
									Timeliness	Prepared or reviewed contracts, memorandum of agreements, deed of donations, compromise agreements, and other legal documents were accomplished within 15 working days from receipt of the request including draft MOA/Contract MS Word format	Prepared or reviewed contracts, memorandum of agreements, deed of donations, compromise agreements, and other legal documents were accomplished within 20 working days from receipt of the request including draft MOA/Contract MS Word format	Prepared or reviewed contracts, memorandum of agreements, deed of donations, compromise agreements, and other legal documents were accomplished within 25 working days from the date of receipt of the request including draft MOA/Contract MS Word format	Prepared or Freviewed contracts, memorandum of agreements, deed of donations, compromise agreements, and other legal documents were accomplished within 30 working days from receipt of the request including draft MOA/Contract MS Word format	Prepared or reviewed contracts, memorandum of agreements, deed of donations, compromise agreements, and other legal documents were accomplished within 35 working days from receipt of the request including draft MOA/Contract MS Word format		#D	IIV/0!	#DIV/0!
				Interpreted laws and rules affecting the implementation of various Division Programs.	January to December 2024		1	Number of legal opinion/recom mendations prepared	Quality	All parts of a legal opinion/recommendatio n were incorporated into the instrument	One of the prescribed parts of a legal instrument were omitted	Two of the prescribed parts of a legal instrument were omitted	Three of the prescribed parts of a legal instrument were omitted	a legal instrument were omitted	Draft interpretation of laws and rule			
									Efficiency	90-100% of the request were provided	85-89% of the request were provided	80-84% of the request were provided	75-79% of the request were provided	70-74% of the request were provided				
									Timeliness	Prepared legal opinion, recommendations or interpretation within 15 working days from receipt of endorsements, request or referrals	Prepared legal opinion, recommendations or interpretation within 20 working days from receipt of endorsements, request or referrals	Prepared legal opinion, recommendations or interpretation within 25 working days from receipt of endorsements, request or referrals	Prepared legal opinion, recommendations or interpretation within 30 working days from receipt of endorsements, request or referrals	Prepared legal opinion, recommendations or interpretation within 35 working days from receipt of endorsements, request or referrals		#D	IV/0!	#DIV/0!
				Continuously improved the services of the Legal unit	July to December 2014		2	Number of electronic Certificate of no pending Case issued	Quality	With no typographical errors on issued electronic Certificate of no pending Case	With 1st revision on issued electronic Certificate of no pending Case	With 2nd revison on issued electronic Certificate of no pending Case	With 3nd revision of issued electronic Certificate of no pending Case	With 4th revision on issued electronic Certificate of no pending Case	Electronic Certification of No pending administrative case			

								Efficiency	95-100% of the request for Certification of No pending administrative case were provided	91-94% of the request for Certification of No pending administrative case were provided	86-90% of the request for Certification of No pending administrative case were provided	81-85% of the request for Certification of No pending administrative case were	75-80% of the request for Certification of No pending administrative case were provided		#DIV/0	#DIV/0!
Administrative (ICT Systems Management)	Support to School & Learners Program	Governance	TAke steps to accelerate delivery of basic education	Managed and maintained the Information and Communication Technology(ICT) Systems and Infrastructure of the	January to December 2024	9	Functional ICT System	Quality	At least 90% of the installed ICT systems and infra are functional	80-89% of the installed ICT systems and infra are functional	70-79% of the installed ICT systems and infra are functional	60-69% of the installed ICT systems and infra are functional	less than 69% of the installed ICT systems and infra are functional	Maintenance & Monitoring Plan Report, Number of regular maintenance		
			facilities and services. Give support to teachers to teach better	Division to effectively support operations				Efficiency	At least 90% updated and managed the daily efficiency of the installed ICT systems and infra in the SDO	80-89% updated and managed the daily efficiency of the installed ICT systems and infra in the SDO	70-79% updated and managed the daily efficiency of the installed ICT systems and infra in the SDO	60-69% updated and managed the daily efficiency of ICT systems and infra in the SDO	less than 69% updated and managed the daily efficiency of the installed ICT systems and infra in the SDO	check of the installed ICT systems and infra in the SDO	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!
								Timeliness	Conducted maintenance check across four quarters	Conducted maintenance check across three quarters	Conducted maintenance check across two quarters	check across one quarter	No maintenance check conducted			
				Managed and implemented ICT programs and projects in the 268 schools and learning centers in the Division to ensure data	January to December 2024	214	Approved School ICT Plan	Quality	At least 80% of the submitted ICT plan was properly implemented	70-79% of the submitted ICT plan was properly implemented	60-69% of the submitted ICT plan was properly implemented	50-59% of the submitted ICT plan was properly implemented	less than 50% of the submitted ICT plan was properly implemented	Number of schools and learning centers that implemented ICT Plan and narrative	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
				validity and effective utilization of the systems				Efficiency	214 schools and learning centers submitted ICT plan	214 schools and learning centers submitted ICT plan	214 schools and learning centers submitted ICT plan	214 schools and learning centers submitted ICT plan	214 schools and learning centers submitted ICT plan	report signed		
						10	Reports on IT account management	Quality	90-100% of the administered and managed account are properly utilized	80-89% of the administered and managed account are properly utilized	70-79% of the administered and managed account are properly utilized	60-79% of the administered and managed account are properly	Below 60% of the administered and managed account are properly	Number of accounts administered and/or managed		
								Efficiency	90-100% of the administered and managed account are active	80-89% of the administered and managed account are active	70-79% of the administered and managed account are active	60-79% of the administered and managed account are active	Below 60% of the administered and managed account are active		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
								Timeliness	Processed and resolved account with issues within one day upon request	Processed and resolved account with issues within two day upon request	Processed and resolved account with issues within three days upon request	Processed and resolved account with issues within four days upon request	Processed and resolved account with issues of more than four days upon request			
				Coordinated with Central Office and other ICT units across levels regarding the implementation of National ICT and ICT-related programs	January to December 2024	4	Reports on all ICT related activities and programs	Quality	at least 90% related to the implementation of National ICT and ICT- related programs was properly coordinated with Central Office and other ICT units across levels	80-89% related to the implementation of National ICT and ICT-related programs was properly coordinated with Central Office and other ICT units across levels	70-79% related to the implementation of National ICT and ICT-related programs was properly coordinated with Central Office and other ICT units across levels	60-69% related to the implementation of National ICT and ICT-related programs was properly coordinated with Central Office and other ICT units across levels	Less than 60% related to the implementation of National ICT and ICT-related programs was properly coordinated with Central Office and other ICT units	Number of submitted ICT related reports on time, Accomplished request for technical assistance form		
												22. 300 101010	across levels		#DIV/0	#DIV/0!

							Efficiency Timeliness	100% submission of required ICT related reports to Central Office and other ICT units across levels Submitted four reports on time	90-99% submission of required ICT related reports to Central Office and other ICT units across levels Submitted three reports on time	80-89% submission of required ICT related reports to Central Office and other ICT units across levels Submitted two reports on time	70-89% submission of required ICT related reports to Central Office and other ICT units across levels Submitted one report on time	less than 70% submission of required ICT related reports to Central Office and other ICT units across levels No submission			
Partnership and Linkages	Support to School & Learners Program	TAke steps to accelerate delivery of basic education facilities and services. Give support to teachers to teach better	Identified resource needs and potential local and international donors.	January to December 2024	3	Identified local and international donors of education- related program/ project	Quality	The local and international donors of education-related program/ project have profile and signed MOA/ MOU and were identified through the identified resource needs.	The local and international donors of education-related program/ project have profile and were identified through the identified resource needs.	The identified local and international donors of education-related program/ project have no or incomplete profile.	Resource needs were identified	No acceptable evidence shown	Signed MOA/ MOU, List of resource needs with the profile of potential local and interntional donors	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
							Efficiency	Has identified 2 local and 1 international donors of education- related program/ project	Has identified 1 local and 1 international donors of education- related program/ project	Has identified 2 local donors of education- related program/ project	Has identified 1 local donor of education-related program/ project	No acceptable evidence shown			
			Crafted localized policies and standards for partnership building	January to December 2024	1	Localized policies and standards prescribed and adapted	Quality	Localized policies and standards prescribed for partnership building is anchored from regional orders, memoranda and advisories evidenced by 4 signed MOAs/MOUs	Localized policies and standards prescribed for partnership building is anchored from regional orders, memoranda and advisories evidenced by 3 signed MOAs/MOUs	Localized policies and standards prescribed for partnership building is anchored from regional orders, memoranda and advisories evidenced by 2 signed MOAs/MOUs	Localized policies and standards prescribed for partnership building is anchored from regional orders, memoranda and advisories evidenced by 1 signed MOA/MOU	No acceptable evidence shown	Division Memorandum on localized policy and standards prescribed for partnership building	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
							Efficiency	4 signed MOAs/MOUs	3 signed MOAs/MOUs	2 signed MOAs/MOUs	1 signed MOA/MOU	No signed MOA/ MOU	-	-	
			Created opportunities for partnership in relevant areas.	January to December 2024	3	Stakeholders' convergence/ partnership engagements conducted	Quality	Stakeholders' convergence/ partnership engagements were conducted which established by 3 local/ international partnerships	Stakeholders' convergence/ partnership engagements were conducted which established 2 local/ international partnerships	Stakeholders' convergence/ partnership engagements were conducted which established 1 local/ international partnership	Stakeholders' convergence/ partnership engagements were conducted	No acceptable evidence shown	Signed MOAs/ MOUs, Support/ Assistance recived with applicable support documents (e.g. contracts, pledges, etc.)	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
							Timeliness	3 Stakeholders' convergence/ partnership engagements conducted within the rating period	2 Stakeholders' convergence/ partnership engagements conducted within rating period	1 Stakeholder's convergence/ partnership engagements conducted within rating period	Stakeholder's convergence/ partnership engagements conducted beyond rating period	No acceptable evidence shown			

				Established effective M&E for partnership sustainability.	January to December 2024	1	Standard tools for effective M&E and sustained partnerships	Quality	The M&E Tool for sustained partnership is utilized evidenced by 90% to 100% accomplishment of progress report and organized information system.	The M&E Tool for sustained partnership is utilized evidenced by 80% to 89% accomplishment of progress report and organized information system.	The M&E Tool for sustained partnership is utilized evidenced by 70% to 79% accomplishment of progress report and organized information system.	The M&E Tool for sustained partnership is utilized evidenced by 60% to 69% accomplishment of progress report and organized information system.	The M&E Tool for sustained partnership is utilized evidenced by less than 60% accomplishment of progress report and organized information system.	Progress report, M&E tool for sustained partnership, organized information system	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
								Efficiency	90% to 100% accomplishment of progress report and organized information system.	80% to 89% accomplishment of progress report and organized information system.	70% to 79% accomplishment of progress report and organized information system.	60% to 69% accomplishment of progress report and organized information	60% accomplishment of progress report and organized information system.			
Office Administration and Performance Management	Support to School & Learners Program	fa	Ake steps to accelerate delivery of basic education acilities and services. Give support	Established and maintained systems and processes geared towards administrative effectiveness and efficiency.	January to December 2024	1	Operational manual and Citizen charter.	Quality	The operations manual and citizen charter contains the client and SDO personnel steps/ procedures, processing time and SDO Personnel responsible.	The operations manual and citizen charter contains the client and SDO personnel steps/ procedures, and processing time.	The operations manual and citizen charter contains the client and SDO personnel steps/procedures.	The operations manual and citizen charter contains the client steps/ procedures.	No acceptable evidence was shown.	Operations Manual and/ or Citizen Charter	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
		to	teachers to leach better					Timeliness	Operations Manual and/ or Citizen Charter is established within the rating period	Operations Manual and/ or Citizen Charter is established 1 month after the rating period	Operations Manual and/ or Citizen Charter is established 2 months after the rating period	and/ or Citizen	No acceptable evidence was shown.			
				Managed the implementation of the Program Implementation Review and Performance Assessment (PIRPA) at the division level.	January to December 2024	100%	Implementation of PIRPA at the Division level	Quality	96% to 100% of the targeted Programs, Projects, and Activies (PPAs)/ commitments are met	91% to 95% of the targeted Programs, Projects, and Activies (PPAs)/ commitments are met	86% to 90% of the targeted Programs, Projects, and Activies (PPAs)/ commitments are met	81% to 85% of the targeted Programs, Projects, and Activies (PPAs)/ commitments are met	76% to 80% of the targeted Programs, Projects, and Activies (PPAs)/ commitments are met	Quarterly PIRPA Reports	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
								Timeliness	The implementation of the PIRPA at the division level is managed within prescribed period	The implementation of the PIRPA at the division level is managed 1 week after the prescribed period	The implementation of the PIRPA at the division level is managed 2 weeks after the prescribed period	The implementation of the PIRPA at the division level is managed 3 weeks after prescribed period	The implementation of the PIRPA at the division level is managed more than 3 weeks after the prescribed		- #DIV/U:	#510701
				Promoted a culture of excellence, innovation, and collaboration through the received CCSS rating.	January to December 2024	5	CCSS rating received	Quality	Received an average rating of 5. Received CCSS rating from at least 500	Received an average rating of 4. Received CCSS rating from 400-499	Received an average rating of 3. Received CCSS rating from at least 300 - 399	Received an average rating of 2. Received CCSS rating from 200 -	Received an average rating of 1. Received CCSS rating from less	CCSS Results and Analysis	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
				Managed the timely and accurate release of information and communication materials.	January to December 2024	100%	Developed Information, Education, Communication (IEC) materials requested have been acted upon	Efficiency	ofients. 96% to 100% of the developed IEC materials were released accurately following the standard procedures.	91% to 95% of the developed IEC materials were released accurately following the standard procedures.	66% to 90% of the developed IEC materials were released accurately following the standard procedures.	299 clients. 81% to 85% of the developed IEC materials were released accurately following the standard procedures.	than 200 clients. 76% to 80% of the developed IEC materials were released accurately following the standard procedures.	List of released developed EIC materials		

Conducted periodic monitoring and evaluatic office/ staff performance the provision of releva learning and developm programs.	for 2024 t	100%	Personnel monitored and evaluated for the provision of relebvant learning and development programs	Timeliness	release were acted within 48 hours and less upon receipt 90% to 100% of the personnel were monitored and evaluated for the provision of relevant learning and development programs	The developed IEC materials requested for release were acted within 49 hours to 72 hours upon receipt 79% to 89% of the personnel were monitored and evaluated for the provision of relevant learning and development programs 79% to 89% of the	release were acted within 73 hours to 120 hours upon receipt 68% to 78% of the personnel were monitored and evaluated for the provision of relevant learning and development programs	to144 hours upon	The developed IEC materials requested for release were acted within 145 hours to 168 hours upon receit 46% to 56% of the personnel were monitored and evaluated for the provision of relevant learning and development programs 46% to 56% of the		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
				Timeliness	monitored and evaluated for the provision of relevant	personnel were monitored and evaluated for the provision of relevant learning and development	personnel were monitored and evaluated for the provision of relevant learning and development programs within the rating period	personnel were monitored and evaluated for the provision of relevant learning and development programs within	personnel were monitored and evaluated for the provision of relevant learning and development programs within the rating period	Attendance/ Participation		

PART I-B: INNOVATING AND INTERVENING ACCOMPLISHMENTS (20%)
Part I-B. Innovating and Intervening Accomplishments shall capture the outcomes/outputs of the office that are enabling, supportive, and/or contributory to the achievement of the organizational commitments and KRAs in Part I-A. Accomplishments can be innovations, interventions, and enhancements on the processes, services, and/or outputs.

					TO BE FILLED IN DU	URING PLANNING	3						то в	E FILLED DURI	NG EVALUATION	1
Key Result Areas (KRA)	Objectives Timeline Weight Allocation of the organizational outcomes and KRAs (Part I Allocation (Quality,		Performance Measure (Quality, Efficiency,			RATING SCALE			Means of Verification (MOVs)	Actual Results/ Accomplishments	RATING (Q,E,T)	AVERAGE (QET)	WEIGHTED AVERAGE			
				Value (numerical, statistical, trend)	Description (expected outcome/ output/service)	Timeliness)	5 (Outstanding)	4 (Very Satisfactory)	3 (Satisfactory)	2 (Unsatisfactory)	1 (Poor)					
						Quality										
						Efficiency									#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
						Timeliness										
		1				Quality		1							#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
		1				Efficiency Timeliness		+		1					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
		 	 	1		Quality		†	1	<u> </u>			1	 	 	
						Efficiency		+							#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
		1				Timeliness						1				
	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•		Part I-B Total Score	#DIV/0!

PART I-C: ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS (15%)
Part I-C. Organizational Effectiveness shall capture accomplishments/outputs produced or attained on the aspects of Financial Stewardship, Process Improvement, and Client Satisfaction. It shall focus on the results achieved by the office that are aligned with the Performance-based Bonus (PBB) oversight requirements.

			TO BE FILLED IN D	URING PLANNING	;						TO BE	FILLED DURIN	IG EVALUATION	v
Organizational Effectiveness Area	Objectives	Timeline	Weight Allocation	Performance Measure (Quality, Efficiency,			RATING SCALE			Means of Verification (MOVs)	Actual Results/ Accomplishments	RATING (Q,E,T)	AVERAGE (QET)	WEIGHTED AVERAG
				Timeliness)	5 (Outstanding)	4 (Very Satisfactory)	3 (Satisfactory)	2 (Unsatisfactory)	1 (Poor)					
	Utilized 98% of the budget allocation in accordance with the quarterly disbursement program with no overdraft/deficit/disallowance from oversight agency/les	Within the rating period	5%	Quality	Budget allocation disbursed within the reglementary period with no overdraft/deficit/disallowance from oversight agency/ies		Budget allocation disbursed within the reglementary period with 3-4 overdraft/deficit/disallowance from oversight agencylies	Budget allocation disbursed within the reglementary period with 5-6 overdraft/deficit/disallowance from oversight agency/ies	Budget allocation disbursed within the reglementary period with 7 or more overdraft/deficit/disallowance from oversight agency/ies					
Financial Stewardship				Efficiency	Budget is utilized according to the BUR target, based on the official BUR report of the Finance Service/Section/Unit (i.e. 98% of the budget allocation is utilized within the FY)	Budget is utilized with 1-5% variance from BUR target, based on the official BUR report of the Finance Service/Section/Unit (i.e. 93-97% of the budget allocation is utilized within the FY)	Budget is utilized with 6-10% variance from BUR target, based on the official BUR report of the Finance Service/Section/Unit (i.e. 88-92% of the budget allocation is utilized within the FY)	variance from BUR target, based on the official BUR report of the Finance Service/Section/Unit					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
					Quarterly basis: Budget is utilized according to the quarterly disbursement program (i.e. 98% of the quarterly BUR target is utilized by the end of each quarter)	Quarterly basis: Budget is utilized with 1-5% variance from the quarterly disbursement program (i.e. 93-97% of the quarterly BUR target is utilized by the end of each quarter)	Quarterly basis: Budget is utilized with 6-10% variance from the quarterly disbursement program (i.e. 88- 92% of the quarterly BUR target is utilized by the end of each quarter)	disbursement program (i.e. 83-						
	Streamlined core processes and management of service provisioning of frontline and other office deliverables to ensure ease of transations and/or digitalization/digitization	Within the rating period	5%	Quality	Improvements/reduction on all of the service standards 1. no. of documentary requirements 2. total processing time 3. transaction cost 4. client steps/agency action steps 5. no. of signatories	improvements/reduction on 4 service standards 1. no. of documentary requirements 2. total processing time 3. transaction cost 4. client steps/agency action steps 5. no. of signatories	Improvements/reduction on 2-3 service standards 1. no. of documentary requirements 2. total processing time 3. transaction cost 4. client steps/agency action steps 5. no. of signatories	Improvements/reduction on 1 service standards 1. no. of documentary requirements 2. total processing time 3. transaction cost 4. client steps/agency action steps 5. no. of signatories	No change in no. of documentary requirements, total processing time, transaction cost, process steps, signatories				#DIV/0!	#DIV/01
				Efficiency	Streamlined and/or digitized all office core processes identified in the QMS planning documents	Streamlined and/or digitized 76- 99% of the office core processes identified in the QMS planning documents	Streamlined and/or digitized 51-75% of the office core processes identified in the QMS planning documents	Streamlined and/or digitized 26- 50% of the office core processes identified in the QMS planning documents	Streamlined and/or digitized 0- 25% of the office core processes identified in the QMS planning documents					
				Timeliness										
	Achieved 100% resolution and compliance rate to #8888 and CCB complaints within the prescribed processing time (simple - 3 days; complex - 7 days; highly technical - 20 days) with at least Satisfactory overall average result on the Client Satisfaction Measurement	Within the rating period	5%	Quality	95.0% - 100% (Outstanding) overall average on the results of the Client Satisfaction Measurement (CSM)	90.9% - 94.9% (Very Satisfactory) overall average on the results of the CSM	80.0% - 89.9% (Satisfactory) overall average on the results of the CSM	60.0% - 79.9% (Fair) overall average on the results of the CSM	Below 60.0% (Poor) overall average on the results of the CSM				_	
Client Satisfaction				Efficiency	100% resolution and compliance rate to #8888 and CCB complaints	At least 80% resolution and compliance rate to #8888 and CCB complaints	At least 50% resolution and compliance rate to #8888 and CCB complaints	At least 1% resolution and compliance rate to #8888 and CCB complaints	0% resolution and compliance rate to #8888 and CCB complaints				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
				Timeliness	Complaints acted upon and closed within prescribed processing time (simple - 3 days; complex - 7 days; highly technical - 20 days)		Complaints are acted upon and closed with documented delays based on the prescribed processing time (simple - 3 days; complex - 7 days; highly technical - 20 days)		No complaint acted upon and resolved					
				1	1		1		ı				Part I-C Total Score	#DIV/0!

RATEE	RATER